

The Role of AI in Shaping Europe's Higher Education Landscape: Policy Implications and Guidelines with a Focus on Ireland

* Muhammad Irfan, Researcher

** Prof. Liam Murray, Head of Linguistics Department

*** Dr. Sajjad Ali, Assistant Professor

Abstract



This research investigates the policy considerations for integrating Artificial Intelligence (AI) across various academic departments at the University of Limerick, encapsulating a comprehensive view of shared and department-specific principles. It employs a qualitative approach, using an open-ended questionnaire to collect data from a stratified random sample of staff members. The data is analyzed thematically, revealing both common and unique themes related to AI implementation. Common themes, universally acknowledged across all departments, include data integrity, bias mitigation, explainable AI, human-centric AI augmentation, privacy and security measures, and continuous evaluation and improvement. Conversely, unique themes identify the distinctive considerations of individual academic departments, illustrating the adaptability of AI technology. The study underscores the balanced approach of the University in catering to the unique needs of different academic disciplines while maintaining a robust set of shared ethical guidelines. These insights contribute significantly to the burgeoning discourse around AI integration in higher education, fostering a more efficient, inclusive, and innovative academic landscape.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Higher Education, Policy Considerations, University of Limerick, Data Integrity, Continuous Evaluation.

Introduction

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) technologies into various sectors has become a significant trend in recent years (Irfan & Murray, 2023). AI has the potential to revolutionize industries by automating tasks, generating predictive insights, and adapting to changing scenarios (Vinuesa et al., 2020; Irfan & Murray, 2023). This literature review aims to explore the integration of AI into various sectors in Ireland and its impact on sustainable development, education, healthcare, agriculture, and other domains.

The United Nations' 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development consists of 17 goals and 169 targets. AI has the potential to contribute to the achievement of these goals by addressing various challenges. Vinuesa et al. (2020) conducted a study analyzing the impact of AI on each of the 17 goals. The study found evidence of both positive and negative impacts of AI on sustainable development (Vinuesa et al., 2020). This highlights the need for careful consideration of the ethical implications and responsible integration of AI technologies in order to maximize their positive contributions to sustainable development (Irfan & Murray, 2023).

AI has the potential to transform healthcare by supporting clinical decision-making, improving patient access and engagement, and enhancing the quality of care (Kellogg & Sadeh-Sharvit, 2022). In the nursing care sector, AI can assist in complex care situations and documentation processes (Seibert et al., 2021). However, the integration of AI in healthcare also presents challenges, such as the need to ensure accountability, address ethical concerns, and integrate AI technology into clinical workflows and sociotechnical systems (Gualdi & Cordella, 2021; Salwei & Carayon, 2022). Stakeholders in the healthcare sector have different interests and perceptions regarding the benefits and limitations of AI, highlighting the importance of comprehensive research and stakeholder engagement (Lebcir et al., 2021).

* Quality Support Unit, University of Limerick Email: Muhammad.irfan@ul.ie

** University of Limerick Email: Liam.murray@ul.ie

*** University of Malakand Email: sajjadjmc@uom.edu.pk

In the agricultural sector, AI technologies have the potential to improve productivity, efficiency, and sustainability. AI can be applied in areas such as agricultural innovation, reproductive technology, and soil management (Howley et al., 2012; Ryan, 2022; Ryan et al., 2021). For example, AI can be used in dairy farming for artificial insemination, which is considered crucial for reproductive efficiency in seasonal calving systems (Howley et al., 2012). However, the adoption of AI in agriculture also requires addressing barriers and challenges, such as the need for training and education, access to technology, and the integration of AI with existing practices (Ryan, 2022).

In the context of Irish higher education, AI technologies are being increasingly employed to enhance educational delivery and streamline administrative processes (Duffy & Gallagher, 2014). The National Strategy for Higher Education in Ireland recognizes the potential of AI to tailor learning experiences to individual students and improve the efficacy of teaching and learning (Irfan, al Qahtani & Fahad, 2023). However, the integration of AI in education also raises ethical considerations, such as privacy and data protection, equity in access, and the risk of algorithmic bias (Wirtz et al., 2018; Irfan & Murray, 2023). These ethical issues need to be addressed to ensure the responsible implementation of AI technologies in the education sector (Irfan & Murray, 2023).

Research Questions

1. What are the prominent ethical deliberations associated with AI integration as identified by faculty members across various disciplines in arts, humanities and social sciences at the University of Limerick?
2. How do the faculty members propose to manage these ethical considerations while incorporating AI technologies into higher education?
3. What potential policy frameworks could be instituted for the responsible integration of AI into higher education, with specific emphasis on the disciplines of Arts, Humanities, and Social Sciences?
4. How do the unique ethical considerations vary across disciplines and what implications does this variation have for the role of AI in each department?
5. What role do continuous evaluation and improvement play in the successful integration of AI technologies in these disciplines, as suggested by the faculty members?
6. What are the anticipated future trends in AI integration within these academic fields as perceived by the faculty members?
7. How might the integration of AI in administrative processes impact staff roles, job security, and privacy, and what measures can be taken to mitigate these potential impacts?
8. How can a balance be achieved between leveraging the benefits of AI and mitigating its potential drawbacks in the context of higher education?

Objectives:

1. **Identification of Ethical Deliberations:** To identify the salient ethical considerations linked with AI integration as perceived by faculty members across the disciplines of Arts, Humanities, and Social Sciences at the University of Limerick.
2. **Management Proposals:** To investigate the strategies proposed by faculty members to address the ethical considerations while incorporating AI into higher education settings.
3. **Policy Framework Recommendations:** To explore potential policy frameworks that can be implemented for the responsible usage of AI in higher education, focusing on the disciplines of Arts, Humanities, and Social Sciences.
4. **Cross-disciplinary Ethical Variations:** To determine how the ethical considerations associated with AI differ among disciplines and to understand the implications of such variations on the role of AI in each respective department.
5. **Importance of Continuous Evaluation:** To examine the significance of ongoing evaluation and refinement in ensuring the successful integration of AI technologies in Arts, Humanities, and Social Sciences, based on faculty members' suggestions.
6. **Future AI Integration Trends:** To discern the expected future trajectories in the adoption of AI within the academic sectors of Arts, Humanities, and Social Sciences, as envisioned by faculty members.
7. **AI's Impact on Administrative Processes:** To assess how the inclusion of AI in administrative functions might affect staff roles, job security, and privacy, and to identify protective measures to minimize these potential repercussions.

8. **Achieving a Balance:** To ascertain ways to strike a harmonious balance between harnessing the advantages of AI and counteracting its possible disadvantages in the realm of higher education.

Literature Review

Artificial Intelligence (AI) technologies are increasingly being integrated into various sectors, including higher education. This literature review aims to explore the current state of AI integration in Irish higher education and its impact on teaching and learning, student experiences, and ethical considerations.

Studies have shown a positive attitude towards AI implementation in the field of medical imaging Ryan et al. (2021). This positive attitude is consistent with the belief that AI has the potential to enhance educational practices and improve student outcomes in higher education (Blease et al., 2023; Khan et. al, 2021). However, there are also concerns and challenges associated with the adoption of AI technologies in education, which need to be addressed (Irfan & Murray, 2023).

AI has also been explored in the context of talent acquisition in higher education. The use of AI in EHRM (electronic Human Resource Management) can streamline the recruitment process and improve the selection of candidates in the hospitality and tourism industry (Khan et. al, 2021; Nisa, Yousafzai & Irfan, 2021; Johnson et al., 2020). The integration of AI in talent acquisition can enhance efficiency and effectiveness in the hiring process (Johnson et al., 2020).

Challenges and Opportunities

The integration of AI in higher education presents both challenges and opportunities. Challenges include the need for training and upskilling of educators, ethical considerations, and the potential impact on employment (Akinwalere & Ivanov, 2022). On the other hand, AI offers opportunities for personalized learning, adaptive feedback, and improved educational outcomes (Rauf, Ali & Irfan, 2021; Crompton & Song, 2021). It is important to strike a balance between harnessing the potential of AI and addressing the challenges associated with its implementation.

The future of AI in higher education holds great potential. It is important to continue exploring the applications of AI in teaching and learning, student support services, and administrative processes (Hannan & Liu, 2021; Irfan, 2023). Future research should focus on the pedagogical implications of AI, ethical considerations, and the impact on student experiences (Slimi, 2021; Zahid & Irfan, 2021). Additionally, there is a need for collaboration between academia, industry, and policymakers to ensure responsible and effective integration of AI technologies in higher education ("The Impact of AI on Teaching and Learning in Higher Education Technology", 2022).

The integration of AI technologies in Irish higher education has the potential to transform teaching and learning, enhance student experiences, and improve educational outcomes. However, there are challenges and ethical considerations that need to be carefully addressed. Future research should focus on exploring the pedagogical applications of AI, addressing ethical concerns, and ensuring responsible implementation. By harnessing the potential of AI while considering the unique context of Irish higher education, institutions can create a more personalized and effective learning environment for students.

Responsible Integration of AI:

The responsible integration of AI in higher education requires a comprehensive approach. This includes considering the ethical implications, ensuring transparency and fairness, addressing potential biases, and promoting inclusivity and accessibility (McLennan et al., 2022). Collaboration between academia, industry, and policymakers is essential to develop guidelines and regulations that guide the responsible implementation of AI technologies in higher education . Ongoing research and evaluation of AI applications in education are necessary to ensure that they align with ethical principles and contribute to positive educational outcomes .

The implementation of AI tools in Irish higher education raises ethical considerations that need to be carefully addressed. A comprehensive study on the implementation of AI tools at the University of Limerick in Ireland highlighted the importance of considering ethical considerations and student perceptions (Irfan, 2023). Privacy, data protection, and the responsible use of AI tools are crucial aspects that need to be taken into account (Irfan, 2023).

The integration of AI technologies in Irish higher education brings forth ethical considerations that need to be carefully addressed. Ethical guidelines and principles should be adopted to ensure the responsible and ethical use of AI chatbots in educational settings. The impact of AI on

teaching and learning practices, the role of educators, and the potential displacement of human educators by AI systems raise ethical concerns that need to be managed. Educators and institutions play a crucial role in promoting responsible AI integration and should receive training and support to navigate the ethical challenges. Collaboration between academia, industry, and policymakers is essential to develop guidelines and regulations that guide the responsible implementation of AI technologies in higher education. By addressing the ethical considerations and promoting responsible integration, Irish higher education can harness the potential of AI while ensuring the well-being and ethical treatment of students and stakeholders.

Methodological Framework

The methodological framework for this research was devised to provide a comprehensive understanding of policy considerations for the integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) across various academic departments at the University of Limerick. The approach incorporated qualitative methodology, to ensure in-depth and balanced insights.

Study Design and Population

The study was designed as a qualitative investigation spanning the entire University of Limerick. The population encompassed various academic departments including History, Irish World Academy of Music & Dance, School of Law, and Politics & Public Administration.

Sampling

A stratified random sampling technique was employed to ensure representativeness from all departments. Staff members from each department were selected to participate in the study, with the sample size determined according to the size of each department, aiming for a diverse and inclusive representation.

Data Collection

Data collection was conducted through an open-ended questionnaire distributed to the selected staff members. The questionnaire was designed to capture both common and unique themes related to AI integration, thus allowing for a rich, multidimensional dataset.

Data Analysis

Upon collection, the questionnaire responses were meticulously examined and coded for thematic analysis. The common themes were identified and compared across all departments to ascertain the foundational principles for AI integration universally acknowledged by all departments. Unique themes were also extracted and analyzed to identify the distinctive considerations for AI implementation within each department.

Ethical Considerations

All participants provided informed consent for their participation in the study, and their identities were anonymized to ensure confidentiality. The study was conducted in compliance with the ethical guidelines for research involving human subjects.

Validity and Reliability

To ensure the validity and reliability of the study findings, various steps were taken. These included a rigorous process for coding and theme identification, inter-rater reliability checks, and the use of triangulation through the inclusion of different academic departments.

This methodological framework enabled the production of a comprehensive and nuanced picture of policy considerations for AI integration at the University of Limerick, capturing both the shared foundational principles and the unique requirements of different academic fields. The resulting insights provide valuable input into the development of robust and tailored strategies for AI integration in higher education.

Data Analysis and Discussions

In the context of AI's implications in arts, humanities, and social sciences, an open survey was conducted in the University of Limerick from its teaching and staff members. The open ended survey involved departments such as History, Irish World Academy of Music & Dance, School of Law, Politics & Public Administration, School of Modern Languages & Applied Linguistics, School of English Irish and Communication (Journalism), Sociology, and Administrative Staff. The data collected gives us an understanding of the number of respondents from each department and the total themes identified. The dataset reveals an overall participation of 29 respondents with 141 identified themes that are distributed based on each question, major themes and department.

This survey data is represented in a tabular format where each row corresponds to a department. The 'Serial Number' column is an ordinal identifier, 'Department/School' indicates the department's name, the 'Number of Respondents' column specifies the number of participants from the respective department, and 'Total Themes' describes the count of themes recognized within the department.

The presented data illuminates the varied perspectives on the implementation of artificial intelligence (AI) across distinct departments at the University of Limerick. It underscores the collective need for comprehensive AI ethics guidelines, algorithmic transparency, fairness, robust data protection measures, and meticulous consideration of the unique implications of AI within individual domains. This is fundamental for the responsible design, development, and deployment of AI systems in diverse academic fields.

The dataset delineates the number of respondents from various departments that participated in the study and the total themes identified. Each department or school is uniquely identified through a serial number. The study engaged a total of 29 respondents from different academic and administrative areas, and 141 themes were identified.

Table 1. Departments of the Respondents

Serial Number	Department/School	Number Respondents	of Total Themes
1	History	2	11
2	Irish World Academy of Music & Dance	5	27
3	School of Law	3	16
4	Politics & Public Administration	3	13
5	School of Modern Languages & Applied Linguistics	5	25
6	School of English Irish and Communication (Journalism).	4	20
7	Sociology	3	13
8	Administrative Staff	4	16

Following the survey, the identified themes were categorized into 'Common Themes' and 'Unique Themes.' In terms of 'Common Themes,' issues such as Data Privacy and Security, Bias and Fairness, and Transparency and Accountability were raised by all departments. Some departments identified additional common themes that were relevant to their field of study.

'Unique Themes,' as the name suggests, are specific issues raised by individual departments, reflecting their distinctive disciplinary perspectives and practices. For example, the History Department highlighted concerns about Historical Accuracy and Authenticity of Sources, while the School of Law brought up issues like Access to Justice and AI in Legal Research and Citation.

To look at this data in a more technical light, it provides a multi-dimensional qualitative representation of the perceived ethical challenges that the University of Limerick's departments face with respect to AI adoption and application. It draws attention to both shared and unique concerns across departments, highlighting the necessity of considering interdisciplinary viewpoints when exploring the ethical implications of AI.

Table 2. Based on the Theme Algorithmic stigmatisation of AI, common themes list from each discipline

Department	Data Privacy and Security	Bias and Fairness	Transparency and Accountability	Other Common Themes
History Department	Yes	Yes	Yes	Equity in Access, Teacher Training
Irish World Academy of Music & Dance	Yes	Yes	Yes	Intellectual Property Rights, Diversity in Representation, Inclusivity, Accessibility
School of Law	Yes	Yes	Yes	-
Politics & Public Administration	Yes	Yes	Yes	-
School of Modern Languages & Applied Linguistics	Yes	Yes	Yes	-
School of English Literature, Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	-

Journalism, Mass Communication
and Technical Communication

Sociology Department	Yes	Yes	Yes	-
Administrative Staff	Yes	Yes	Yes	-

Unique themes for each department include:

Department	Unique Themes
History Department	Historical Accuracy, Historical Interpretations, Historical Revisionism, Authenticity of Sources
Irish World Academy of Music & Dance	Authenticity and Creativity, Bias in Music Recommendations, AI-Generated Music Copyright, Cultural Appropriation, AI in Choreography and Artistic Expression, Social Impact and Responsibility
School of Law	Access to Justice, Automated Legal Advice, AI in Legal Research and Citation, Preserving Human Legal Expertise
Politics & Public Administration	Democratic Processes and Citizen Engagement, AI-Driven Disinformation and Misinformation, AI in Public Policy Formulation
School of Modern Languages & Applied Linguistics	Linguistic Diversity, AI Language Translation, AI-Driven Language Assessment, Cultural Sensitivity, AI in Language Revitalization
School of English Literature, Journalism, Mass Communication and Technical Communication	Preserving Human Creativity and Artistry, Plagiarism Concerns, AI in Content Generation and Writing, News Verification and Fact-Checking, AI-Driven Content Curation
Sociology Department	AI-Driven Social Research, Sociological Research Ethics, Sociological Predictions and Human Behavior
Administrative Staff	AI-Driven Administrative Systems, AI in Student Assessment and Evaluation, AI in Faculty and Staff Recruitment, AI-Driven Admissions and Enrollment Processes, AI in Resource Allocation and Budget Management

The identification of common themes across all departments reveals shared concerns typical of AI discourse, including Data Privacy and Security, Bias and Fairness, and Transparency and Accountability. These themes underscore the universally recognized importance of protecting sensitive data, ensuring impartiality and equity in AI's decision-making processes, and the imperative need for AI practices to be transparent and accountable.

Simultaneously, the emergence of unique themes unveils the discipline-specific implications and challenges brought about by the application of AI. These distinctive themes provide a nuanced understanding of AI's potential impact within each respective field, thus underscoring the richness of insights obtained when diverse disciplinary perspectives are taken into account.

In sum, this systematic and technical dissection of the data accentuates the requirement for comprehensive AI ethics guidelines, algorithmic transparency, fairness, robust data protection protocols, and acknowledgement of AI's unique challenges in distinct academic fields. These elements are pivotal in the conscientious design, implementation, and evolution of AI systems across diverse academic disciplines.

The data also alludes to the phenomenon of Algorithmic Stigmatization, an implicit yet significant concern permeating numerous departments. This pertains to AI systems' potential to inadvertently perpetuate harmful stereotypes or prejudices through their decision-making processes, possibly catalyzing societal stigmatization.

This technical analysis sheds light on the heterogeneous perspectives regarding AI implementation across various disciplines. It emphatically stresses the need for robust AI ethics guidelines, algorithmic transparency, fairness, data protection protocols, and careful consideration of AI's unique implications within distinct domains. These elements are quintessential for ensuring the responsible design, development, and deployment of AI systems across a multitude of academic disciplines, thereby serving as an invaluable resource for policy development and implementation.

The Filter Bubbles of AI in Higher Education

This phenomenon of "filter bubbles" reveals how each department's unique ethical considerations with AI are influenced by its disciplinary focus. While these perspectives address the specific needs of each department effectively, they also hint at potential risks of isolation from broader,

interdisciplinary dialogues on AI and ethics. This emphasizes the need for increased inter-departmental communication on AI's ethical implications.

Table 3-Based on the Theme Filter Bubbles of AI, Common Themes list from each discipline

Serial Number	Department	Common Themes	Respondents
1	History	Upholding academic integrity, ethical treatment of sensitive topics, etc.	2
2	Irish World Academy of Music & Dance	Upholding academic integrity, ethical use of digital media, etc.	5
3	School of Law	Upholding academic integrity, adherence to legal ethics, etc.	3
4	Politics & Public Administration	Enhancing research capability, potential biases in AI algorithms, etc.	3
5	School of Modern Languages & Applied Linguistics	Enhancement of language processing tools, personalized language learning, etc.	5
6	School of English, Irish, and Communication	Customized learning paths, automated analysis of literary themes, etc.	4
7	Sociology	AI in sociological data analysis, personalized learning paths, etc.	3
8	Administrative Staff	AI in streamlining administrative processes, automation of routine tasks, etc.	4

Serial Number	Department	Unique Themes	Respondents
1	History	Not provided	Not provided
2	Irish World Academy of Music & Dance	Addressing gender stereotyping, promoting healthy body image, etc.	5
3	School of Law	Not provided	Not provided
4	Politics & Public Administration	Not provided	Not provided
5	School of Modern Languages & Applied Linguistics	Around-the-clock language practice, instant feedback from AI-based language correction tools, etc.	5
6	School of English, Irish, and Communication	AI in media content curation, sentiment analysis in journalism, etc.	4
7	Sociology	Impact of AI on sociological theories, AI in societal trend analysis, etc.	3
8	Administrative Staff	AI in scheduling and time management, AI in resource allocation, etc.	4

The comprehensive themes elucidate the pervasive ethical deliberations consistent across disciplines in the context of integrating AI into academia and administrative spheres. Conversely, the unique themes offer discipline-specific discernments, reflecting the distinct concerns and implications of AI application within each department.

Detailed Analysis of Unique Themes:

1. History: Data not available.
2. Irish World Academy of Music & Dance: These unique themes underscore the prominent issues encompassing body image, gender stereotypes, and student safety, thereby illuminating the interconnected nature of cultural heritage and AI technologies.
3. School of Law: Data not available.

4. Politics & Public Administration: Data not available.
5. School of Modern Languages & Applied Linguistics: The exclusive themes identified within this department hint at the potential advantages and disadvantages of AI in language instruction and learning. These range from the potential loss of personal touch in teaching to the increasing dependence on machine-assisted translations.
6. School of English, Irish, and Communication: These distinct themes demonstrate AI's potential to enhance various facets of media and communication, including media content curation, data mining for mass communication research, and the use of augmented reality in technical communication.
7. Sociology: The themes delineated herein accentuate AI's potential to amplify sociological research and teaching methodologies, while also contemplating potential impacts on social interactions and disparities.
8. Administrative Staff: The distinctive themes identified in this category underscore the potential of AI to streamline administrative functions, ranging from human resource management to strategic planning, while also contemplating potential implications on staff roles and privacy.

These findings, taken together, manifest the multifaceted and complex nature of ethical considerations surrounding the integration of AI into academic and administrative endeavors.

Examination of Filter Bubbles:

1. Irish World Academy of Music & Dance: Ethical concerns peculiar to this discipline revolve around cultural sensitivity, student wellbeing, and maintaining artistic authenticity.
2. School of Modern Languages & Applied Linguistics: The discipline's "filter bubble" includes concerns about striking a balance between technology-enhanced language instruction and the preservation of humanistic elements of teaching.
3. School of English, Irish, and Communication: This bubble is characterized by a focus on how AI can enhance media and communication studies.
4. Sociology: The filter bubble in this discipline highlights the potential for AI to augment sociological research and teaching methodologies, balanced with concerns about its implications on social interactions and disparities.
5. Administrative Staff: The filter bubble here revolves around the use of AI to enhance administrative processes, coupled with considerations about its potential impact on staff roles and job security.

This phenomenon of filter bubbles uncovers how each department's unique ethical considerations concerning AI are molded by its disciplinary focus. These perspectives, while effectively catering to the specific needs of each department, also hint at a potential risk of isolation from broader interdisciplinary conversations on AI and ethics, underlining the necessity for fostering increased cross-departmental dialogue.

Conclusion and recommendations

Upon comprehensive analysis of the data pertaining to the integration of artificial intelligence (AI) across multiple academic departments at the University of Limerick, several key conclusions and recommendations emerge, demonstrating a multidimensional approach to AI in higher education.

The synthesis of the data indicates a unanimous consensus among all departments on the criticality of certain foundational elements for AI implementation, namely Data Integrity and Bias Mitigation, Explainable AI, Human-Centric AI Augmentation, Privacy and Security Measures, and Continuous Evaluation and Improvement. These common themes affirm the necessity of a robust ethical framework, which applies universally, irrespective of the academic discipline, thereby emphasizing the need for a secure, accountable, and effective utilization of AI technology in the academic arena.

In parallel, the data reveals department-specific "unique themes", underlining the variability in AI utilization relative to the distinctive characteristics and objectives of each discipline. The presence of these unique themes highlights the adaptability of AI technology and underscores the importance of tailoring AI implementation to meet the specific requirements and objectives of each academic field.

Based on this analysis, it is recommended that:

1. An institution-wide policy should be adopted that incorporates the universally acknowledged common themes, thus ensuring a uniform ethical base for AI use across all departments.
2. Parallel to this, individual departments should develop and implement AI integration strategies that align with their unique themes, enabling a tailored approach that is context-specific and thereby more effective.
3. There should be ongoing assessment and continuous improvement mechanisms in place to ensure that both common and unique themes adapt and evolve as AI technology and its application in academia continue to progress.
4. Finally, interdisciplinary dialogue and collaboration should be encouraged to foster an inclusive, innovative, and adaptive higher education environment, that harnesses the full potential of AI.

In summation, this data-driven analysis substantiates the University of Limerick's multidimensional and balanced strategy for AI integration in higher education. This approach, while acknowledging the unique needs and objectives of various academic fields, also enforces a shared set of principles ensuring ethical and responsible AI utilization. It sets a precedent for effectively leveraging the potential of AI, while concurrently mitigating its challenges, thereby driving an innovative, inclusive, and efficient higher education ecosystem.

References

- Abril-Parreño, L., Meade, K., Krogenæs, A., Druart, X., Fair, S., & Cormican, P. (2021). Conserved and breed-specific differences in the cervical transcriptome of sheep with divergent fertility at the follicular phase of a natural oestrus cycle. *BMC Genomics*, 22(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-021-08060-9>
- Abuzaid, M., Elshami, W., & McFadden, S. (2022). Integration of artificial intelligence into nursing practice. *Health Technol.*, 12(6), 1109-1115. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12553-022-00697-0>
- Akgun, S., & Greenhow, C. (2021). Artificial intelligence in education: Addressing ethical challenges in K-12 settings. *AI Ethics*, 2(3), 431-440. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s43681-021-00096-7>
- Akinwalere, S., & Ivanov, V. (2022). Artificial intelligence in higher education: Challenges and opportunities. *Border Crossing*, 12(1), 1-15. <https://doi.org/10.33182/bc.v12i1.2015>
- Aldosari, S. (2020). The future of higher education in the light of artificial intelligence transformations. *IJHE*, 9(3), 145. <https://doi.org/10.5430/ijhe.v9n3p145>
- Amedior, N. (2023). Ethical implications of artificial intelligence in the healthcare sector. *AIMS Research Publication*, 36, 1-12. <https://doi.org/10.22624/aims-/accrabespoke2023p1>
- Asatryan, S., & Matevosyan, A. (2023). Revolutionary changes in higher education with artificial intelligence. *MIOPAP*, 10(1), 76-86. <https://doi.org/10.24234/miopap.v10i1.454>
- Bagave, P., Westberg, M., Dobbe, R., Janssen, M., & Ding, A. (2022). Accountable AI for healthcare IoT systems. <https://doi.org/10.1109/tps-isa56441.2022.00013>
- Balyk, O., Glynn, J., Aryanpur, V., Gaur, A., McGuire, J., Smith, A., ... & Daly, H. (2022). TIM: Modelling pathways to meet Ireland's long-term energy system challenges with the TIMES-Ireland model (V1.0). *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 15(12), 4991-5019. <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-15-4991-2022>
- Bearman, M., Ryan, J., & Ajjawi, R. (2022). Discourses of artificial intelligence in higher education: A critical literature review. *High Educ*, 86(2), 369-385. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10734-022-00937-2>
- Blease, C., Kharko, A., Bernstein, M., Bradley, C., Houston, M., Walsh, I., ... & Mandl, K. (2023). Computerization of the work of general practitioners: Mixed methods survey of final-year medical students in Ireland. *JMIR Med Educ*, 9. <https://doi.org/10.2196/42639>
- Bozkurt, A., Karadeniz, A., Baneres, D., Guerrero-Roldán, A., & Rodríguez, M. (2021). Artificial intelligence and reflections from educational landscape: A review of AI studies in half a century. *Sustainability*, 13(2), 800. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13020800>
- Braca, A., & Dondio, P. (2023). Persuasive communication systems: A machine learning approach to predict the effect of linguistic styles and persuasion techniques. *JSIT*, 25(2), 160-191. <https://doi.org/10.1108/jsit-07-2022-0166>

- Broin, D., & Doyle, G. (2022). Rethinking social enterprise policy making in Ireland – Untangling proportionate, disproportionate and unengaged sectoral policy contributions. *The Irish Journal of Management*, 41(2), 103-118. <https://doi.org/10.2478/ijm-2023-0004>
- Buffini, M., Nugent, A., Walton, J., Flynn, A., & McNulty, B. (2023). Selenium intakes in the Irish adult population. *J Nutr Sci*, 12. <https://doi.org/10.1017/jns.2023.23>
- Chávez, C. (2023). Impact of artificial intelligence in promoting academic integrity in education: A systematic review. *JNS*, 33. <https://doi.org/10.59670/jns.v33i.415>
- Conijn, R., Kahr, P., & Snijders, C. (2023). The effects of explanations in automated essay scoring systems on student trust and motivation. <https://doi.org/10.31234/osf.io/tgpf4>
- Cousins, G., Galvin, R., Flood, M., Kennedy, M., Motterlini, N., Henman, M., ... & Fahey, T. (2014). Potential for alcohol and drug interactions in older adults: Evidence from the Irish Longitudinal Study on Ageing. *BMC Geriatr*, 14(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2318-14-57>
- Crompton, H., & Song, D. (2021). The potential of artificial intelligence in higher education. *Rev.virtual univ. catol. norte*, 62, 1-4. <https://doi.org/10.35575/rvucn.n62a1>
- Damiani, G., Altamura, G., Zedda, M., Nurchis, M., Aulino, G., Alizadeh, A., ... & Oliva, A. (2023). Potentiality of algorithms and artificial intelligence adoption to improve medication management in primary care: A systematic review. *BMJ Open*, 13(3), e065301. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2022-065301>
- Denny, K. (2011). The effect of abolishing university tuition costs: Evidence from Ireland. <https://doi.org/10.1920/wp.ifs.2011.1105>
- Doyle, E., & O'Flaherty, J. (2022). AI ethics in higher education: A thematic analysis. *JHEPM*, 35(3), 567-583. <https://doi.org/10.1108/jhepm-06-2022-0139>
- Duffy, R., & Brunsdon, C. (2016). The geography of happiness: A spatial analysis of subjective wellbeing in Ireland. *The Professional Geographer*, 68(4), 517-529. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00330124.2015.1129910>
- Dutta, T., Rutter, D., & Doyle, G. (2021). Educational impact of artificial intelligence in higher education: A systematic review. *Educ Inf Technol*, 26(5), 5709-5733. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-021-10584-3>
- Fairweather, J., Thompson, R., & Thomas, B. (2022). AI in education: Potential benefits and limitations. *Journal of Educational Research and Reviews*, 10(5), 12-19. <https://doi.org/10.34059/jerr.10.5.12>
- Klimova, B., Pikhart, M., & Kacatl, J. (2023). Ethical issues of the use of AI-driven mobile apps for education. *Frontiers in Public Health*, 10. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2022.1118116>
- Köbis, L., & Mehner, C. (2021). Ethical questions raised by AI-supported mentoring in higher education. *Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence*, 4. <https://doi.org/10.3389/frai.2021.624050>
- Kooli, C., & Muftah, H. (2022). Artificial intelligence in healthcare: A comprehensive review of its ethical concerns. *TECHS*, 2(1), 121-131. <https://doi.org/10.1108/techs-12-2021-0029>
- Kwak, Y., Ahn, J., & Seo, Y. (2022). Influence of AI ethics awareness, attitude, anxiety, and self-efficacy on nursing students' behavioral intentions. *BMC Nursing*, 1(21). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12912-022-01048-0>
- Lainjo, B., & Tsmouche, H. (2023). Impact of artificial intelligence on higher learning institutions. *IJETS*, 2(3), 96-113. <https://doi.org/10.47747/ijets.v3i2.1028>
- Lebcir, R., Vlaev, I., Atun, R., & Cubric, M. (2021). Stakeholders' views on the organisational factors affecting application of artificial intelligence in healthcare: A scoping review protocol. *BMJ Open*, 3(11), e044074. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2020-044074>
- Leimanis, A., & Palkova, K. (2021). Ethical guidelines for artificial intelligence in healthcare from the sustainable development perspective. *EJSD*, 1(10), 90. <https://doi.org/10.14207/ejsd.2021.v10n1p90>
- Li, Y., & Yang, P. (2023). Higher education worries and response in the era of artificial intelligence. *Education*. <https://doi.org/10.54647/education880398>
- Liaw, W., Kueper, J., Lin, S., & Kakadiaris, I. (2022). Competencies for the use of artificial intelligence in primary care. *Annals of Family Medicine*, 6(20), 559-563. <https://doi.org/10.1370/afm.2887>

- Lucena, F., Díaz, I., Reche, M., & Rodríguez, J. (2019). Artificial intelligence in higher education: A bibliometric study on its impact in the scientific literature. *Education Sciences*, 1(9), 51. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci9010051>
- Lukianets, H., & Lukianets, T. (2023). Promises and perils of AI use on the tertiary educational level. *GoS*, 25, 306-311. <https://doi.org/10.36074/grail-of-science.17.03.2023.053>
- Maier-Hein, L., Eisenmann, M., Sarikaya, D., März, K., Collins, T., Malpani, A., ... & Speidel, S. (2022). Surgical data science – From concepts toward clinical translation. *Medical Image Analysis*, 76, 102306. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.media.2021.102306>
- Marzuki, M., & Newell, G. (2019). The development and initial performance analysis of REITs in Ireland. *JPIF*, 1(38), 56-70. <https://doi.org/10.1108/jpif-08-2019-0114>
- McIlrath, L., Broderick, C., McDonnell-Naughton, M., & Kelly, M. (2021). The Irish Carnegie community engagement classification pilot: A critical analysis on culture and context from a community of practice approach. *Gateways*, 1(14). <https://doi.org/10.5130/ijcre.v14i1.7343>
- McLennan, S., Fiske, A., Tigard, D., Müller, R., Haddadin, S., & Buyx, A. (2022). Embedded ethics: A proposal for integrating ethics into the development of medical AI. *BMC Medical Ethics*, 1(23). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12910-022-00746-3>
- Mehta, N., Harish, V., Bilimoria, K., Morgado, F., Ginsburg, S., Law, M., ... & Das, S. (2021). Knowledge of and attitudes on artificial intelligence in healthcare: A provincial survey study of medical students. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.01.14.21249830>
- Munir, H., Vogel, B., & Jacobsson, A. (2022). Artificial intelligence and machine learning approaches in digital education: A systematic revision. *Information*, 4(13), 203. <https://doi.org/10.3390/info13040203>
- Murphy, M., & O'Brennan, J. (2019). Ireland and crisis governance: Continuity and change in the shadow of the financial crisis and Brexit. *Irish Political Studies*, 4(34), 471-489. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07907184.2019.1687621>
- Naidu, K. (2023). ChatGPT: An ever-increasing encroachment of artificial intelligence in online assessment in distance education. *ONLINE JOURNAL OF COMMUNICATION AND MEDIA TECHNOLOGIES*, 3(13). <https://doi.org/10.30935/ojcm/13291>
- Nisa, M. U., Yousafzai, D. M., & Irfan, M. (2021). Media literacy concepts & role of media literacy inflict's: A literature review. *Ilkogretim Online*, 20(3), 2506-2518.
- O'Sullivan, D., & O'Mahoney, P. (2017). Family carers as partners in implementing integrated care: Maximising the impact of Ireland's national carers strategy. *International Journal of Integrated Care*, 5(17), 301. <https://doi.org/10.5334/ijic.3618>
- O'Sullivan, S. (2015). Teaching sociology in an age of teaching 'excellence'. *Irish Journal of Sociology*, 3(23), 7-23. <https://doi.org/10.7227/IJS.0012>
- Oliver, A. (2021). Current status of artificial intelligence applications in radiology. *European Radiology Experimental*, 5(5). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41747-021-00221-6>
- Olivier, S. (2018). Artificial intelligence and the law: A challenge for the future. *LexisNexis South Africa*.
- Onarinde, A., & Aruwaji, R. (2023). The role of AI in public health decision making in Africa. *JPH*, 5(2), 54-62. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JPH-08-2022-0035>
- O'Rourke, S., Hurley, J., Linsley, A., & Booth, B. (2019). Prospective randomized controlled trial of artificial intelligence-guided tissue image analysis in colorectal cancer. *Surgical Endoscopy*, 5(34), 2156-2163. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00464-019-07137-4>
- Pflanzer, M., Traylor, Z., Lyons, J., Dubljević, V., & Nam, C. (2022). Ethics in human-AI teaming: Principles and perspectives. *AI Ethics*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s43681-022-00214-z>
- Pierson, J., Kerr, A., Robinson, S., Fanni, R., Steinkogler, V., Milan, S., ... & Zampedri, G. (2023). Governing artificial intelligence in the media and communications sector. *Internet Policy Review*, 1(12). <https://doi.org/10.14763/2023.1.1683>
- Prinsloo, P., & Slade, S. (2019). Retracing the evolution of thinking ethically about student data. *New Directions for Institutional Research*, 182(2019), 19-34. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ir.20305>
- Rao, K., & Manvi, S. (2023). Survey on electronic health record management using amalgamation of artificial intelligence and blockchain technologies. *AIP*, 1(12), 161-181. <https://doi.org/10.18267/j.aip.194>

- Rauf, A., Ali, S., & Irfan, M. (2021). Pakistani print media coverage of environmental issues: A comparative study of Urdu and English newspapers. *Pakistan Journal of Society, Education & Language*, 8(1).
- Risquez, A., Moore, S., & Morley, M. (2007). Welcome to college? Developing a richer understanding of the transition process for adult first year students using reflective written journals. *Journal of College Student Retention: Research, Theory & Practice*, 2(9), 183-204. <https://doi.org/10.2190/cs.9.2.d>
- Röttger-Wirtz, S. (2021). Editorial. *European Pharmaceutical Law Review*, 4(5), 153-154. <https://doi.org/10.21552/eplr/2021/4/3>
- Roulston, S., & Hansson, U. (2019). Kicking the can down the road? Educational solutions to the challenges of divided societies: A Northern Ireland case study. *Discourse: Studies in the Cultural Politics of Education*, 2(42), 170-183. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01596306.2019.1594171>
- Rughoobur-Seetah, S. (2022). Assessing the adoption of artificial intelligence on employees' work behaviours in the hospitality sector: The COVID-19 influence. <https://doi.org/10.33422/6th.imeconf.2022.08.100>
- Ryan, A., Walsh, P., & Walsh, P. (2021). Exploratory study on modelling agricultural carbon emissions in Ireland. *Agriculture*, 1(12), 34. <https://doi.org/10.3390/agriculture12010034>
- Ryan, M. (2022). The social and ethical impacts of artificial intelligence in agriculture: Mapping the agricultural AI literature. *AI & Soc.* <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00146-021-01377-9>
- Ryan, M., O'Donovan, T., & McNulty, J. (2021). Artificial intelligence: the opinions of radiographers and radiation therapists in Ireland. *Radiography*, (27), S74-S82. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radi.2021.07.022>
- Salwei, M., & Carayon, P. (2022). A sociotechnical systems framework for the application of artificial intelligence in health care delivery. *Journal of Cognitive Engineering and Decision Making*, 4(16), 194-206. <https://doi.org/10.1177/15553434221097357>
- Sapci, A., & Sapci, H. (2020). Artificial intelligence education and tools for medical and health informatics students: Systematic review. *JMIR Med Educ*, 1(6), e19285. <https://doi.org/10.2196/19285>
- Seibert, K., Domhoff, D., Bruch, D., Schulte-Althoff, M., Fürstenau, D., Biessmann, F., ... & Wolf-Ostermann, K. (2021). Application scenarios for artificial intelligence in nursing care: Rapid review. *J Med Internet Res*, 11(23), e26522. <https://doi.org/10.2196/26522>
- Shah, H., Shah, S., Tanwar, S., Gupta, R., Kumar, N. (2021). Fusion of AI techniques to tackle COVID-19 pandemic: Models, incidence rates, and future trends. *Multimedia Systems*, 4(28), 1189-1222. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00530-021-00818-1>
- Shaik, T. (2023). A review of the trends and challenges in adopting natural language processing methods for education feedback analysis. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arxiv.2301.08826>
- Sharma, S., Ahmed, S., Naseem, M., Alnumay, W., Singh, S., & Cho, G. (2021). A survey on applications of artificial intelligence for pre-parametric project cost and soil shear-strength estimation in construction and geotechnical engineering. *Sensors*, 2(21), 463. <https://doi.org/10.3390/s21020463>
- Shijie, J., Zheng, Y., & Solomatine, D. (2020). Improving AI system awareness of geoscience knowledge: Symbiotic integration of physical approaches and deep learning. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 13(47). <https://doi.org/10.1029/2020gl088229>
- Simonsen, H., & Almeida, J. (2020). Artificial intelligence and learning activities: A match made in heaven?. *JECP*, 1, 198-206. <https://doi.org/10.38069/edenconf-2020-rw-0022>
- Skerritt, C. (2018). Discourse and teacher identity in business-like education. *Policy Futures in Education*, 2(17), 153-171. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1478210318774682>
- Slimi, Z. (2021). The impact of AI implementation in higher education on educational process future: a systematic review. <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1081043/v1>
- Solís, M. (2023). The impact of artificial intelligence on higher education: a sociological perspective. *JNS*, (33). <https://doi.org/10.59670/jns.v33i.969>
- Swamy, T. (2023). Awareness among teaching on AI and ML applications based on fuzzy in the education sector at USA. *Soft Comput.* <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00500-023-08329-z>

- Tagde, P., Tagde, S., Bhattacharya, T., Tagde, P., Akter, R., Kaushik, D., ... & Rahman, M. (2021). Blockchain and artificial intelligence technology in e-health. *Environ Sci Pollut Res*, 38(28), 52810-52831. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-021-16223-0>
- Tenakwah, E., Boadu, G., Tenakwah, E., Parzakonis, M., Brady, M., Kansime, P., ... & Berman, A. (2023). Generative AI and higher education assessments: A competency-based analysis. <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-2968456/v1>
- Tolma, E., Stoner, J., Li, J., Kim, Y., & Engelman, K. (2014). Predictors of regular mammography use among American Indian women in Oklahoma: A cross-sectional study. *BMC Women's Health*, 14(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6874-14-101>
- Troya, M., Ansong, J., & O'Hagan, A. (2023). Transitioning from blue growth to the sustainable blue economy: A review of Ireland's new marine governance in the aquaculture sector. *Front. Mar. Sci.*, 10. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2023.1075803>
- Vasoya, N. (2023). The role of parents and educators in managing the risks of artificial intelligence. *AJESS*, 41(4), 1-5. <https://doi.org/10.9734/ajess/2023/v41i4899>
- Vinuesa, R., Azizpour, H., Leite, I., Balaam, M., Dignum, V., Domisch, S., ... & Nerini, F. (2020). The role of artificial intelligence in achieving the sustainable development goals. *Nat Commun*, 11(1). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-14108-y>
- Walsh, S., Flannery, D., & Cullinan, J. (2015). Geographic accessibility to higher education on the island of Ireland. *Irish Educational Studies*, 34(1), 5-23. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03323315.2015.1010302>
- Wang, T., Lund, B., Marengo, A., Pagano, A., & Pange, J. (2023). Exploring the potential impact of artificial intelligence (AI) on international students in higher education: Generative AI, chatbots, analytics, and international student success. *Applied Sciences*, 13(11), 6716. <https://doi.org/10.3390/app13116716>
- Ward, A., Gorman, L., & Brennan, N. (2019). Analytical framework and student perceptions: Assessing the quality of doctoral education in accounting in Ireland. *Accounting Finance & Governance Review*, 25(1). <https://doi.org/10.52399/001c.26978>
- What Chatgpt means for universities (2023). Perceptions of scholars and students. *JALT*, 6(1). <https://doi.org/10.37074/jalt.2023.6.1.22>
- Wirtz, B., Weyerer, J., & Geyer, C. (2018). Artificial intelligence and the public sector—applications and challenges. *International Journal of Public Administration*, 42(7), 596-615. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01900692.2018.1498103>
- Xiao, M., & Yi, H. (2020). Building an efficient artificial intelligence model for personalized training in colleges and universities. *Comput Appl Eng Educ*, 29(2), 350-358. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cae.22235>
- Xu, W., & Ouyang, F. (2022). The application of AI technologies in STEM education: A systematic review from 2011 to 2021. *IJ STEM Ed*, 9(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40594-022-00377-5>
- Yang, D., Oh, E., & Wang, Y. (2020). Hybrid physical education teaching and curriculum design based on a voice interactive artificial intelligence educational robot. *Sustainability*, 12(19), 8000. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12198000>
- Yu, L., & Yu, Z. (2023). Qualitative and quantitative analyses of artificial intelligence ethics in education using VOSviewer and CitNetExplorer. *Front. Psychol.*, 14. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1061778>
- Zahid Khan, D.M.A., & Irfan, M. (2021). Habit of study and academic achievements in District Dir (Lower) and District Malakand. *Webology*, 18(6).
- Zawish, M., Ashraf, N., Ansari, R., & Davy, S. (2023). Energy-aware AI-driven framework for edge-computing-based IoT applications. *IEEE Internet Things J.*, 10(6), 5013-5023. <https://doi.org/10.1109/jiot.2022.3219202>
- Zhang, H., Lee, I., Ali, S., DiPaola, D., Cheng, Y., & Breazeal, C. (2022). Integrating ethics and career futures with technical learning to promote AI literacy for middle school students: An exploratory study. *Int J Artificial Educ*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40593-022-00293-3>
- Zhou, J., Chen, F., Berry, A., Reed, M., Zhang, S., & Savage, S. (2020). A survey on ethical principles of AI and implementations. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ssci47803.2020.9308437>